

DAILY EGG PRODUCTION, SPAWNING BIOMASS AND RECRUITMENT FOR THE CENTRAL SUBPOPULATION OF NORTHERN ANCHOVY 1981–2009

BENJAMIN E. FISSEL

NOAA, Alaska Fisheries Science Center
Economics and Social Sciences Research Program
7600 Sand Point Way NE
Seattle, WA 98115
Email: Ben.Fissel@noaa.gov

NANCY C. H. LO

Fisheries Resources Division
Southwest Fisheries Science Center
8604 La Jolla Shores Drive
La Jolla, CA 92037
Email: Nancy.Lo@noaa.gov

SAMUEL F. HERRICK JR.

Fisheries Resources Division
Southwest Fisheries Science Center
8604 La Jolla Shores Drive
La Jolla, CA 92037
Email: Sam.Herrick@noaa.gov

ABSTRACT

This paper updates estimates of critical stock assessment parameters for the central subpopulation of northern anchovy (*Engraulis mordax*). Ichthyoplankton data from the CalCOFI database were used to implement the historical egg production method and estimate annual mortality curves, from which daily egg production, and egg and larval mortality parameters were derived. Spawning biomass was estimated using historical data under the assumption of a constant daily specific fecundity. A Ricker recruitment model, augmented with environmental factors, was estimated based on historical data and used to predict recruitment using the new spawning biomass data. We found that egg densities were highly variable while larval densities have been persistently low since 1989. Recruitment estimation suggests that poor environmental conditions have potentially contributed to the low productivity. Mortality estimation reveals through an increasing egg mortality rate that low larval densities were primarily the result of high mortality during the pre-yolk-sac period.

1 INTRODUCTION

This paper updates the egg production statistics, spawning stock biomass, and recruitment time series from 1981–2009 for the central subpopulation of northern anchovy (*Engraulis mordax*) which occupies the California Current Ecosystem from San Francisco, California south to Punta Baja, Baja California, Mexico. It is the largest of the North Pacific subpopulations, and supported a significant U.S. fishery throughout the 1970s and 1980s. In 1978 the fishery came under federal management through the Pacific Fisheries Management Council's (PFMC) Northern Anchovy Fishery Management Plan (FMP) (PFMC 1978). In 1983 the FMP was amended (Amendment 5) in recognition that harvest should be adjusted annually to reflect the current status of the stock (PFMC 1983) and annual stock assessments were conducted to inform the annual U.S. anchovy harvest quota.

During the 1980s anchovy abundance started to decline as environmental conditions in the California Current ecosystem became less favorable for anchovy

productivity. Concurrently, the conditions were favorable for the recovery of the Pacific sardine (*Sardinops sagax caerulea*) population and fishing effort began to shift from anchovy to sardine. With the shift in fishing effort from anchovy to sardine, conservation and management resources were redirected toward managing the expanding sardine fishery, and since 1995 no stock assessments have been conducted for the central subpopulation of northern anchovy (Jacobson et al. 1995). Our updated stock statistics are intended to provide valuable information about the anchovy's abundance trajectory over the past 15 years.

The core range of the bulk of the central subpopulation lies within the California Bight. Portions of the central subpopulation, thought to be smaller, exist north off the coast of San Francisco and Monterey, as well as south in Mexico (PFMC 2010). The bight has been regularly sampled by research cruises since 1949 and cataloged in the California Cooperative Oceanographic and Fisheries Investigation (CalCOFI) database. The cruises conducted ichthyoplankton surveys at regular intervals, known as CalCOFI stations (Eber and Hewitt 1979). Anchovy ichthyoplankton from the surveys is preserved and later larvae are counted and lengths recorded, while eggs are only counted. The preserved lengths allow for the binning of larvae counts and aging, known as staging (Lo 1985a).

Numerous methods have been developed to analyze anchovy ichthyoplankton data (Hewitt 1981; Zweifel and Smith 1981; Hewitt and Methot 1982; Lasker 1985). The historical egg production method (HEPM) of Lo (1985a) is the method most amenable to the available CalCOFI data and is the closest to the daily egg production method (DEPM) (Lasker 1985) currently used for sardine (Lo et al. 2008). The HEPM is a method for estimating daily egg production (P_0) and other early life history mortality parameters of archived ichthyoplankton data. The HEPM was designed to provide indices of abundance for anchovy dating back to 1951 when no staging data for anchovy eggs were available. The DEPM was designed to estimate the spawning biomass for fish populations with indeterminate fecundity like anchovy and sardine (Hunter and Macewicz 1985) but requires




Figure 1. CalCOFI Stations in the core range. From: <http://www.calcofi.org/cruises/stapos-depth/75stapattern.html> accessed 08/23/10

staged eggs. While the more data intensive DEPM is preferred, HEPM provides an unbiased index of the daily egg production (Lo 1985a). The spawning stock biomass can then be estimated using daily egg production and daily specific fecundity of the stock (Parker 1980; Hewitt 1985).

The Ricker stock-recruitment model (Ricker 1954) can in turn be used to estimate recruitment from spawning biomass. The stock-recruitment relationships are typically highly variable as it spans the development phases of growth which are subject to a variety of influence. Theories explaining the dynamics of fishes often cite sensitivity in recruitment linked to environmental factors (Aydin 2005) as being a major driver. Previous research shows that anchovy recruitment success is influenced by wind stress driven upwelling (Husby and Nelson 1982; Peterman and Bradford 1987; Rykaczewski and Checkley 2008) and temperatures in the upper strata of the ocean (Butler 1989; Zweifel et al. 1976; Fiedler et al. 1986). We augment the Ricker model with wind stress and temperature to produce an environmental Ricker stock-recruitment model.

In this paper, daily egg production and mortality parameters were estimated using the HEPM. Spawning biomass was estimated using a model that regressed

daily egg production on historical spawning biomass data (Jacobson et al. 1995) thereby assuming constant daily specific fecundity over time. Historical stock and environmental data was used to estimate the environmental Ricker stock-recruitment model and statistical validity of the model was explored. Bootstrapping was used to characterize variation in mortality.

2 DATA AND METHODS

2.1 Data

Data for the analysis was obtained from the CalCOFI database. Data were constrained to the central subpopulation's core range of the 75 CalCOFI stations (fig. 1) south of CalCOFI line 76.7 and north of line 93.3. Ichthyoplankton surveys over the core range from 1981–2009 were used. Our analysis was constrained to data collected during the peak anchovy spawning season between January and April (Hewitt and Methot 1982; Hewitt and Brewer 1983). We verified in our data that the peak spawning season has remained in this interval. The 75 stations analyzed had a median sampling frequency of 2.03 samples per year between Jan–April. Each cruise was weighted equally in our analysis.

Three different types of nets were used for ichthy-

oplankton surveys over 1981–2009. The CalBOBL or Bongo net (CB), the CalVET (CVT) and two connected CalVET nets called the PairOVET (PV) (CVT and PV are referred to collectively as CVT/PV)¹. Our analysis utilizes ichthyoplankton samples from CB and CVT nets for 1981–1984 and CB and PV nets for 1985–2009.

2.2 Daily egg production

Egg production methods estimate the production of eggs at age zero, the time of spawning. Estimation of age-zero egg production per 10 m² (P_0) from the counts of eggs and larvae was carried out in a series of steps. Procedures for correcting raw ichthyoplankton counts and aging have followed the literature closely and incorporate previously derived parameters. Appendix A provides details on the methods used for egg and larval density construction and aging, and they are summarized in the following paragraph.

First, larvae were sorted into size classes based on preserved larval size. The size classes were 2.5 mm, 3.25 mm, 4.25 mm, ..., 9.25 mm². Extrusion and avoidance corrections were applied and standard haul factors were used to rescale egg and larval counts to a 10 m² area-density (appendix A1). The time it takes eggs to reach the developed stage, incubation time (t^I), was calculated using a temperature-dependent relationship (Lo 1983). A live larval length correction was made to preserved samples, and live lengths were used in a temperature and month-dependent two-stage Gompertz growth curve (GGC) (Lo 1983; Hewitt and Methot 1980) to estimate larval age (t) (appendix A2). The first stage of the GGC spans the first three larval classes (2.5 mm, 3.25 mm, 4.25 mm) and is designed to model growth over the period of yolk-sac consumption. The second stage of the GGC covers post-yolk-sac consumption growth (5.25 mm, ..., 9.25 mm) when larvae must seek out food in their environment. Aggregation of the samples over cruises and stations yielded annual age and density statistics for the region. The daily larval production (DLP) is the daily production of larvae in a size class per 10 m² area-density, and was constructed as the standing stock of larvae in a size class over the number of days that larvae spend in that size class as determined by the growth curve.

Methods for the estimation of the mortality curves are presented in the following subsection 2.2.1. However, it is useful to first summarize the approach. Figure 2 displays a conceptual graph of the HEPM estimation process. First, daily larval production and corresponding size




Figure 2. Conceptual graph of HEP mortality estimation, on log-linear axes.

class ages were used to construct larval mortality curves (Lo 1985c). The mortality curve was parameterized such that the fitted DLP at the age of incubation time, $t = t^I$, gives the production at the time of hatching (P_h). Under the assumption that the egg instantaneous mortality rate (IMR) is constant across egg stages³, the egg IMR can be found as the value that is consistent with the observed standing stock of (unstaged) eggs (the dark shaded region in fig. 2). Having obtained the egg IMR over the time of incubation, production of eggs at age 0 (P_0), can be estimated using the egg mortality curve from P_h back to the time of spawning.

Residual bootstrapping (MacKinnon 2006) of the annual mortality curves was used to provide annual estimates of variability for larval and egg mortality parameters (appendix B). Bootstrap based variation is reported as 95% confidence intervals (CIs) which were constructed by taking the 0.025 and 0.975 quantiles of the relevant bootstrapped distribution. Details on the bootstrap procedure are provided in appendix B.

2.2.1 Mortality curves and daily egg production. An annual Pareto type mortality curve was used to model the mortality of larvae from the time of hatching. The variables of daily larval production, $dlp_{c,s}$, average age of larvae ($t_{c,s}$) and incubation time t_s^I for larval class c in year s (see appendix A) are used to identify the parameters

¹Further details on sampling procedures and nets are available from the Southwest Fisheries Science Center (SWFSC), CalCOFI, Smith and Richardson (1977).

²Larval class sizes greater than 9.25 mm were discarded because mature larvae are more adept at avoiding nets thereby introducing significant bias into production calculations (appendix A3).

³This assumption was verified in Lo (1985a) for select years.

in the model. Each year was estimated independently using the equation:

$$dP_{c,s} = P_{h,s} \left(\frac{t_{c,s}}{t_s^I} \right)^{-\beta_s} + \epsilon_{c,s} \quad (1)$$

where the mortality curve parameterization was chosen by Lo (1985a) so that $P_{h,s}$ is the production at the time of hatching ($t = t_s^I$), and β_s is the coefficient of the larval instantaneous mortality rate. The larval instantaneous mortality rate decreases as larvae age, and at age t is β/t (Hewitt and Brewer 1983). We assume the error term, $\epsilon_{c,s}$, is distributed with a mean-zero, however, we allow for heteroskedasticity across ages through our bootstrap methods (appendix B). Equation 1 was fit using nonlinear least squares (NLS). A grid search over initial conditions was performed and the parameters that minimized the sum-of-squared errors were used. A residual bootstrap of equation 1 was used to construct 95% CIs for β_s and $P_{h,s}$ (appendix B).

An exponential curve, which applied a constant instantaneous mortality rate (IMR), α , was used to model egg mortality to $P_{h,s}$: $\log(P_0) - \alpha * t = \log(\text{egg production at age } t)$, for $t \in (0, t^I)$, where $\log(P_0) - \alpha * t^I = \log(P_h)^4$. Manipulation of the definition for the observed standing stock of eggs and the production at the time of hatching (Lo 1985a) yields a definition that was used to calculate the egg IMR:

$$\frac{m_s}{P_{h,s}} = \frac{e^{\alpha_s * t_s^I} - 1}{\alpha_s} \quad (2)$$

where m_s is the observed corrected standing stock of eggs, and the egg IMR, α_s , was estimated by iterative method. Daily egg production can now be estimated as the production at time zero, $P_{0,s}$, necessary to produce the estimated $P_{h,s}$ give the egg mortality rate α_s , and the time it takes to incubate t^I :

$$P_{0,s} = P_{h,s} e^{\alpha_s * t_s^I} \quad (3)$$

Ninety-five percent CIs for α_s and $P_{0,s}$ were derived by re-estimating equations 2 and 3 at each iteration of the larval bootstrap (appendix B).

2.3 Spawning stock biomass estimation

To obtain estimates of SSB overlapping, historical data from Jacobson et al. (1995) was used and daily specific fecundity, D_s , was assumed constant over time so that

the SSB_s is proportional to $P_{0,s}$. With this assumption a simple linear regression without a constant is estimated:

$$SSB_s = \gamma P_{0,s} 10^5 \Lambda + \eta D 1_s + \epsilon_s \quad (4)$$

where $P_{0,s} 10^5$ is the daily egg production per km² Λ is the area of the core CalCOFI region (approx. 200,500 km²). From 1981–1986 data from south of the Mexican border was used by *National Marine Fisheries Service's Southwest Fisheries Science Center (SWFSC)* to calculate SSB_s and other stock statistics, $D1$ is a categorical variable accounting for this: 1981–1986 ($D1=1$) and 1987–2009 ($D1=0$). The model was fit using the estimated $\widehat{P_{0,s}}$ (equation 3) and SSB_s from Jacobson et al. (1995) over the years 1981–1995. The fitted model was used to estimate the SSB_s from 1981–2009. The standard estimate of prediction error associated with ordinary least squares is reported.

2.4 Recruitment

Estimates of spawning stock biomass were used in conjunction with a Ricker curve to provide recruitment estimates and explore the impact of environmental conditions. The last anchovy stock assessment (Jacobson et al. 1995) provided estimates of both spawning stock biomass and recruitment for the years 1964–1995. Consistent with Jacobson et al. (1995) we refer to recruits as age-0 anchovy on July 1.

Two environmental factors were incorporated into our recruitment model, north-south (N-S) wind stress and sea surface temperature⁵. The Ricker stock-recruitment model was augmented with these variables in the exponential, which yields an environmental Ricker model:

$$R_s = A * SSB_s * e^{B * SSB_s + \rho_1 * NSWind5_s + \rho_2 * tanom_s} + \epsilon_s \quad (5)$$

where R_s is recruitment in year s , $tanom_s$ is the mean annual sea surface temperature (SST) anomaly at Scripps pier and $NSWind5_s$ is the 5% quantile of the annual north-south wind stress anomaly distribution. Wind stress and sea surface temperature anomalies were computed as deviations from the monthly means across all available years. Recruitment and spawning biomass were normalized by their standard deviation, then fit using NLS over the stock and environmental data from 1964–1995. The standard Ricker curve ($\rho_1 = \rho_2 = 0$) was used as the null model, M^0 , to evaluate the benefit of added

⁴Lo (1985a) provided two separate mortality estimates: first under the assumption of constant IMR to yolk-sac larval stage, and second constant through the first yolk-sac larval stage. Constant mortality through the first larval stage was a helpful assumption for the historical data used because *CVT/PV* samples were not present. The use of the *CVT/PV* nets in our data gives us sufficiently accurate sampling from smaller larvae classes.

⁵North-south (N-S) wind stress data were obtained from the Environmental Research Division of the SWFSC through their Live Access Server <http://www.pfeg.noaa.gov/products/las.html>. The wind stress vectors are National Center for Environmental Predictions derived monthly wind stresses from the location 32.5 degrees north and 117.5 degrees west, and span 1948–2009. Data on Scripps pier SST data were obtained from the ocean informatics datazoo <http://oceaninformatics.ucsd.edu/datazoo/data/> hosted by Scripps Institution of Oceanography.




Figure 3. Annual egg and larval densities per 10 m² in the core CalCOFI region 1981–2009.

information from the full environmental Ricker model, M^{WT} (ρ_1, ρ_2 unconstrained). The Akaike information criterion (AIC) was used to compare the models. The ratio of likelihoods was formed as $L(M^0)/L(M^{WT}) = \exp((AIC^{WT} - AIC^0)/2)$, which is the likelihood that the constrained null model minimizes the information loss relative to the unconstrained model that uses the environmental factors (Burnham, K. P. and D. R. Anderson (2002)), which we denote by $I(M^{WT}) \leq I(M^0)$. Similar AIC probability calculations were carried out on different model specification to assess the relative contribution of the individual environmental factors. SSB estimates obtained from equation 4 were used with the fitted environmental Ricker model to estimate recruitment from 1981–2009.

3 RESULTS

Annual density plots for the core CalCOFI stations show the temporal variation of eggs and larvae (fig. 3, table 1). Since approximately 1989, egg densities in general, have been lower although more highly variable than the years preceding. Prior to 1989 densities ranged from 2182/10 m² to 7063/10 m² with a mean of 4276/10 m², while later densities showed a range of 508/10 m² to 11091/10 m² and a mean of 2070/10 m² with pronounced episodes of high density particularly in 2005–2006 (table 1). In contrast, larvae densities have declined fairly steadily since 1989 except for 2005 when an increase in larval density was associated with the correspondingly high egg density (fig. 3 right panel). Larval densities ranged from 394.1/10 m² to 2870.2/10 m² with a mean of 1177.9/10 m² prior to 1989, and after

had a range of 6.3/10 m² to 648.8/10 m² and a mean of 166.3/10 m² with pronounced episodes of high density particularly in 2005–2006 (table 1). Larvae densities do not track the dynamics of egg densities closely and are considerably smaller than densities observed through the mid to late 1980s; similar to patterns in 1951–1982 (Lo 1985a).

Egg density closely mirrors P_0 (figs. 3 and 4, table 1). P_0 displays high post-1989 production around 1997, 2001 and a pronounced episode of high density in 2005. In the early '80s DEP appears comparatively low in contrast to the relative egg density owing to the low egg IMR (α) during that period (fig. 4 upper-right panel, table 1). The larval mortality coefficient (β) has been variable but has maintained an average value of approximately -1.89 (fig. 4 lower right panel). In contrast, the egg IMR has been increasing from low levels in the early '80s to over 2 in the late 2000s. A linear time trend has been superimposed on the egg IMR time series and shows that the egg IMR has been increasing by approximately 0.06 per year. Bootstrap CIs indicate that estimation of the egg IMR is more precise than the coefficient of larval mortality (fig. 4 right panel). The imprecision in the estimation of β is largely due to higher residual variance in the pre-yolk-sac-consumption larval phases (fig. B1). CIs for DEP indicate that the random variation in larval mortality does not significantly contribute to the observed pattern of DEP .

The spawning stock has shown periods of low biomass since 1989, but has been highly variable (fig. 5, table 2) with high post-1990 biomass around 1997, 2001

TABLE 1
 Annual egg, larval and mortality statistics

Year	Egg dens. 10 m ²	Larvae dens. 10 m ²	β	P_h	α	P_0
1981	2685.26	1673.18	-1.96	1015.49	-0.04	912.35
			[-2.05,-1.84]	[866.5,987.3]	[-0.05,0.04]	[862,983]
1982	2896.23	693.44	-1.56	255.62	0.7	2279.5
			[-1.74,-1.23]	[186.4,280.1]	[0.61,0.8]	[2052,2495]
1983	2181.7	928.23	-2.02	616.87	0.24	1139.14
			[-2.17,-1.85]	[504.4,616.2]	[0.2,0.34]	[1061,1242]
1984	3869.8	1189.92	-1.82	598.47	0.56	2770.29
			[-1.92,-1.68]	[497,572.3]	[0.52,0.6]	[2601,2826]
1985	3853.01	394.07	-2.67	263.97	0.76	3177.28
			[-2.93,-2.06]	[191,282.3]	[0.71,0.88]	[3022,3578]
1986	7063.25	1144.54	-2.54	1196.2	0.43	4239.8
			[-2.69,-2.41]	[1129.7,1322.1]	[0.38,0.47]	[3993,4434]
1987	5595.11	2870.22	-2.2	1719.78	0.05	2021.12
			[-2.24,-2.15]	[1647.7,1714.2]	[0.05,0.08]	[1994,2069]
1988	6060.64	529.37	-2.22	282.93	0.82	5254.26
			[-2.48,-1.85]	[234.7,346]	[0.77,0.93]	[5011,5846]
1989	745.66	155.23	-2.06	80.66	0.62	542.7
			[-2.46,-1.2]	[43,87]	[0.5,0.82]	[464,656]
1990	1862.97	534.85	-1.96	313.13	0.5	1239.04
			[-2.18,-1.69]	[191.5,255.6]	[0.47,0.61]	[1133,1336]
1991	1634.47	421.06	-1.28	114.16	0.94	1658.65
			[-1.47,-0.91]	[80.1,129.2]	[0.82,1.05]	[1467,1791]
1992	1095.67	167.43	-1.89	85.94	0.98	1165.09
			[-2.35,-1.28]	[54.9,105.3]	[0.83,1.16]	[1011,1331]
1993	507.68	108.98	-1.52	37.55	0.87	476.87
			[-2.01,-0.68]	[18.7,56.5]	[0.68,1.23]	[403,642]
1994	932.9	271.69	-2.15	125.74	0.52	609.87
			[-2.5,-1.83]	[123.2,165.1]	[0.44,0.6]	[571,684]
1995	1857.66	99.84	-2.1	33.36	1.2	2270.21
			[-2.63,-1.26]	[28.8,59.5]	[1.24,1.56]	[2370,2927]
1996	2041.04	259.41	-2.65	156.04	0.86	1912.72
			[-2.93,-2.1]	[142.5,201.6]	[0.8,0.98]	[1836,2151]
1997	3753.55	130.25	-1.41	39.92	1.82	6884.84
			[-1.88,-0.83]	[22.8,56.1]	[1.57,1.94]	[5952,7319]
1998	572.02	85.71	-1.73	36.36	1.23	740.98
			[-2.08,-1.07]	[22.3,39.3]	[1.09,1.39]	[662,816]
1999	795.65	140.46	-1.97	71.66	0.64	581.51
			[-2.33,-1.5]	[45.3,77.2]	[0.53,0.75]	[499,646]
2000	1106.24	93.36	-2.47	55.3	1.06	1226.33
			[-2.85,-1.6]	[28.9,56.2]	[0.97,1.26]	[1127,1420]
2001	2722.55	101.16	-2.49	63.22	1.33	3689.34
			[-2.86,-1.65]	[31,57.7]	[1.22,1.46]	[3391,4010]
2002	823.98	49.78	-0.9	7.39	1.45	1200.32
			[-1.57,-0.28]	[4,14.3]	[1.24,1.7]	[1038,1401]
2003	862.19	70.08	-1.58	21.63	1.31	1150.54
			[-1.93,-1.05]	[14.3,27.5]	[1.22,1.5]	[1078,1307]
2004	1693.4	55.18	-2.61	33.95	1.51	2592.44
			[-2.99,-1.7]	[15.9,40]	[1.32,1.7]	[2274,2892]
2005	11091.12	648.81	-1.27	143.84	1.53	17161.25
			[-1.39,-1.12]	[137.3,171.6]	[1.57,1.67]	[17617,18637]
2006	6394.01	57.13	-1	9.41	2.34	14972.47
			[-1.8,0]	[3.5,26.3]	[1.99,2.73]	[12610,17272]
2007	1142.23	19.76	-1.13	3.41	2.03	2320.7
			[-1.87,-0.36]	[1.7,5.8]	[1.77,2.21]	[2023,2524]
2008	808.21	6.3	-1.92	1.89	2.02	1633.54
			[-2.46,-0.13]	[0.6,2.5]	[1.79,2.27]	[1447,1836]
2009	1044.16	14.8	-1.6	3.42	1.92	2012.21
			[-1.9,-0.32]	[2,4.5]	[1.86,2.15]	[1945,2249]

Egg and larval densities, the coefficient of the larval instantaneous mortality rate (IMR) (β), larval production at the time of hatching (P_h), egg IMR (α), and egg production at age at age zero per 10 m² (P_0). 95% bootstrap larval mortality confidence intervals are in brackets below the estimates.

and a pronounced episode of high biomass in 2005–2006. *SSB* has been comparatively lower in recent years. For the overlapping years of Jacobson et al. (1995) *SSB*, and our *SSB* estimates (fig. 5 left panel) there is some

discrepancy but the respective trends are nearly identical ($R^2 = 0.825$) (table 3). The high biomass in 2005 is not without precedent; similar levels were seen around 1976. The parameter on *SSB*, γ , was significant at the




Figure 4. Annual daily egg production (P_0) (left panel) and egg IMR and coefficient of larval IMR (right panel). IMR regression coefficients displayed have a p -value ≤ 0.01 . Error bars represent 95% bootstrapped larval mortality confidence intervals.




Figure 5. Comparison of historical and new annual spawning stock biomass (SSB_0) 1964-2009 (left panel). Annual spawning stock biomass 1981-2009 (right panel).

0.1% level (table 3) and can be roughly interpreted as the inverse of the daily specific fecundity per metric

⁶The fecundity parameters of the stock relate SSB to P_0 (Parker 1980; Hewitt 1985). The stocks sex-ratio (Q), the proportion of mature females spawning (F), and the average batch fecundity (E) relative to the mature female weight (W) give the daily specific fecundity, $1/\gamma = Q \cdot F \cdot (E/W)$. The daily specific fecundity and daily egg production can be related to the spawning biomass by: $P_0 = SSB \cdot (1/\gamma)$.

ton of SSB ⁶. However, because of the assumptions and the reduced form nature of the regression γ may be capturing some latent changes over time. The implied daily specific fecundity (number of eggs produced per day per unit fish weight) per metric ton of biomass was $1/\gamma = 2.532 \text{ E}+08$. Aggregate specific fecundity can be obtained by multiplying this by the SSB .

TABLE 2
 Annual spawning biomass and recruitment statistics

Year	Spawning biomass	SSB Predic. Error	Recruitment	Wind S. .05 quant	Temp. mean
1981	411825.77	76469.05	670506.17	-0.848	0.512
1982	520106.22	68050.61	2292236.85	-1.728	0.022
1983	429787.65	74202.51	557112.61	-0.850	0.855
1984	558977.70	68459.06	1058457.90	-1.633	1.199
1985	591212.10	70215.62	482741.42	-0.320	0.109
1986	675365.57	80059.39	282065.59	-0.115	0.612
1987	160075.84	46971.72	393716.32	-0.732	0.451
1988	416146.22	122111.51	851826.18	-0.739	-0.113
1989	42983.16	12612.73	193872.87	-0.886	0.081
1990	98134.15	28795.91	240347.47	-0.790	0.786
1991	131368.04	38547.87	331156.59	-0.384	-0.144
1992	92276.93	27077.20	102489.76	-0.221	1.137
1993	37769.26	11082.79	38983.16	-0.056	1.149
1994	48302.66	14173.65	56698.02	-0.070	0.910
1995	179804.42	52760.76	594143.98	-1.170	0.681
1996	151490.66	44452.53	261865.36	-0.600	0.858
1997	545291.08	160007.02	352430.96	-1.067	2.084
1998	58686.52	17220.63	71883.07	-0.218	1.077
1999	46056.91	13514.67	311754.70	-0.906	-0.609
2000	97127.44	28500.51	154578.31	-0.273	0.582
2001	292202.02	85742.05	389139.87	-0.308	0.276
2002	95067.77	27896.13	224820.84	-0.487	0.290
2003	91125.05	26739.20	180510.05	-0.443	0.536
2004	205325.91	60249.63	195895.29	-0.344	1.237
2005	1359200.63	398835.88	117862.60	-0.214	1.166
2006	1185845.47	347967.55	190403.04	-0.378	0.996
2007	183803.71	53934.28	212452.88	-0.132	0.576
2008	129379.08	37964.24	175467.13	-0.100	0.427
2009	159370.30	46764.69	590413.16	-0.965	0.167

Spawning biomass (SSB) (mt) with prediction error and recruitment (mt). Mean sea surface temperature anomaly (Temp.) and the 0.05 quantile of the north-south wind stress anomaly distribution (Wind S.).

TABLE 3
 Spawning Stock Biomass Regression

Coefficients	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
γ	3.950E-09	1.159E-09	3.408	0.00467**
η	3.396E+05	8.822E+04	3.849	0.00201**

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' > 0.1
 Residual standard error: 166500 on 13 deg. of freedom
 Multiple R²: 0.848, Adjusted R²: 0.825
 F-statistic: 36.34 on 2 and 13 DF, p-value: 4.749E-06

Coefficients of the regression are the inverse of the daily specific fecundity per metric ton of SSB (γ) and a categorical variable for the inclusion of Mexican data, 1981-1986 (η).

TABLE 4
 Standard and environmental Ricker regressions

Standard Ricker M^0			Environmental Ricker M^{WT}		
Coefficient	Estimate	Std. Error	Coefficient	Estimate	Std. Error
A	1.3991*	0.5437	A	0.5659	0.2959
B	-0.5074*	0.1384	B	-0.5074**	0.1384
			ρ_1	-106.171**	36.359
			ρ_2	-0.5663*	0.2145
Resid std. error: 0.9815 df = 3; AIC = 93.5538			Resid std error: 0.8026 df = 5; AIC = 82.4666		

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' > 0.1

Comparison of the standard and environmental Ricker recruitment models with coefficient estimates for Ricker model parameters, the 0.05 quantile of the N-S wind stress anomaly (ρ_1) and mean sea surface temperature anomaly (ρ_2).




Figure 6. Fitted values of environmental Ricker model 1964–1995 (left panel) and predicted recruitment from the environmental Ricker curve 1981–2009 based on our SSB_s (right panel).





Figure 7. Comparison of historical and new annual recruitment (R_n) 1964–2009 (left panel). Annual recruitment 1981–2009 (right panel).

TABLE 5
 AIC comparisons of the Ricker model specifications

AIC statistics				
Model	M^0 ($\rho_1 = \rho_2 = 0$)	M^T ($\rho_1 = 0, \rho_2 = uc$)	M^{WT} ($\rho_1 = uc, \rho_2 = 0$)	M^{WT} ($\rho_1 = uc, \rho_2 = uc$)
AIC	93.5538	88.5827	87.5796	82.4666
Relative information likelihood statistics				
Model Comp. Likelihood	$I(M^{WT}) \leq I(M^0)$	$I(M^T) \leq I(M^0)$	$I(M^{WT}) \leq I(M^0)$	$I(M^{WT}) \leq I(M^{WT})$
	0.0039	0.0833	0.0504	0.0776

Model M^0 is the standard Ricker null model, M^{WT} is the full environmental Ricker model, and M^{WT} and M^T are models with only wind and temperature respectively. Likelihood ratios show relative information (I^*) content. (*uc* means the coefficient was unconstrained).

The environmental factors were significant in the Ricker stock-recruitment model (fig. 6, table 4). The .05 quantile of the north-south wind stress anomaly was significant at the 0.1% level, and mean sea surface temperature anomaly at the 1% level. The contribution of the variables to explaining recruitment was further explored through an analysis of the AIC statistics from different models (table 5). The unconstrained model M^{WT} had the lowest AIC. Models with only temperature (M^T) and only wind stress (M^{WT}) were compared to the null model (M^0), the standard Ricker curve (section 2.4). The likelihood ratio statistic shows the contribution of the incorporating environmental information (table 5). The information gain in predicting recruitment provided by both environmental factors relative to the null model $I(M^{WT}) \leq I(M^0)$ is 0.004, below a 1% significance threshold. Temperature alone provides some improvement relative to the null model $I(M^T) \leq I(M^0)$ with a likelihood statistic of 0.08 which is below a 10% significance threshold, while a model with only wind stress $I(M^T) \leq I(M^0)$ is marginally above a 5% significance threshold with statistic of 0.05. The full model was compared to the model with only wind stress $I(M^{WT}) \leq I(M^{WT})$ and had a likelihood ratio statistic of 0.08 which is below a 10% level of significance. Both wind stress and temperature are significant in explaining recruitment, however wind stress has a comparatively larger influence. Both environmental variables were used in reported recruitment estimation (table 2). Graphical comparison of the standard Ricker and the environmental Ricker (fig. 6 left panel) shows that the temperature and wind stress produce improved fits for many years (e.g. 1975, 1977, 1982, and others), although this is not uniformly true for all years (e.g. 1976, 1980, and others).

The difference between the recruitment estimates and the standard Ricker curve shows the estimated influence of the environmental factors for 1981–2009 (fig. 6 right panel). Recruitment estimates above the standard Ricker line indicate favorable environmental conditions, while estimates below indicate the opposite. Many recent years, even when spawning biomass is high, fall below the standard Ricker curve. Comparison of Jacobson’s historical data to ours show that the low recruitment levels are not

without precedent and were observed in the mid to late ’60s (fig. 7 left panel).

4 DISCUSSION

The anchovy ichthyoplankton data are not without their shortcomings. Previous anchovy assessments (Jacobson et al. 1995) used the *CB*, *CVT* and *PV* surveys with targeted adult and juvenile trawl surveys, and aerial spotter plane data. The latter two surveys are no longer conducted, hindering the calculation of a time-varying daily specific fecundity. Previous assessments also had staged eggs allowing the implementation of the DEPM and fundamental growth parameters had been recently estimated. While the precision of available parameters (Lo 1983) should be sufficiently accurate for HEPM estimation, parameters could hypothetically be time-varying and require updating to reflect the current environmental regime. Updated and extended sampling and research could provide further accuracy in future studies, but would not affect the trend in our estimates as these are driven by observed egg and larval densities.

The episodes of high egg densities, *SSB* and P_0 around 1997 and particularly in 2005 are prominent features of the data (fig. 3). Despite the periodic surges in spawning productivity we observed comparatively low larval densities (fig. 3). The low larval counts result in low corresponding estimates of the production at the time of hatching (P_h) which by the estimation procedure then translates into a high egg IMR. However, P_h is not directly observed and is estimated. Thus, the hatching transition itself has the potential to be a source of mortality, and one potentially susceptible to a variety of influences. Mortality at, or very shortly after, the time of hatching could confound egg IMR estimates. Regression discontinuity could be used to test this but would require staged eggs and thorough sampling to ensure accurate densities estimates around the hatching threshold.

Interpreted within the context of the modeling approach, the steady increase in the egg IMR is the primary cause of the low larval densities as opposed to the comparatively more stable coefficient of larval mortality (fig. 4). High mortality during the larval post-

yolk-sac consumption period, or critical period, would come through in mortality estimation as a lower (more negative) coefficient of larval mortality which does not appear in the data. Residual analysis does, however, show a slight negative residual bias in the later size classes that can be viewed as indicative of a critical period. The first feeding for anchovy larvae typically occurs at approximately 5mm (fig. B1 left panel). However, the magnitude of the residuals and the high egg IMR suggest post-yolk-sac stages are not the dominant source of mortality in anchovy ELH.

The assumption of a constant specific fecundity over time, used to estimate *SSB* (section 2.3), could bias estimates of *SSB*. Because anchovy are indeterminate spawners they will adjust their daily specific fecundity according to the environmental conditions: in high productivity years they will have a higher daily specific fecundity. The likely effect of our inability to capture this is overestimation of the spawning biomass in high egg productivity years (e.g. 2005–2006, fig. 5)⁷. Lacking data on spawning parameters it is unclear how to adjust the daily specific fecundity to account for temporal variation. Time trends and environmental factors in the specification of the daily specific fecundity were not significant. Despite our simplifying assumptions and inferior data, our estimates *SSB* fit the Jacobson et al. (1995) data quite well.

The failure of strong *SSB* to translate into strong *R* is analogous to the observation that high egg densities failed to translate into high larval densities. We observe higher pre-1989 larval densities and estimate strong pre-1989 recruitment classes. Larval densities after 1989 appear markedly smaller and correspondingly the environmental Ricker estimate a lower recruitment through the environmental Ricker (fig. 6 right panel). The inclusion of environmental factors in the recruitment estimation was intended to provide insight into the potential sources of larval mortality by estimating a reduced form relationship between *SSB* and *R*. The time between spawning and recruitment spans the egg and larval phases of development. These phases of development are thought to be when pre-recruitment mortality is greatest. Motivated by Peterman and Bradford (1987), who examined the impact of wind speed exceeding a threshold on larval survival and hence recruitment, we use the 5% quantile of the north-south wind stress anomaly to capture this. Cooler temperatures are thought to allow for the fuller development of anchovy larvae; as such we use the mean temperature anomaly. The environmental variables are incorporated into the regression in a straightforward fashion as exponential terms.

The reduced form approach to examining environmental influences employed in this paper does not iden-

tify the point during the development process that these factors (or factors for which they're proxying) are influencing mortality. Nonetheless, one can interpret environmental Ricker as a linear model for the growth rate and carrying capacity. Equation 5 can be algebraically manipulated to express the growth rate as $\log(A) + \rho^*x$ where x is vector of environmental factors⁸. If one interprets the growth rate as an aggregate index of potential, then survival/mortality is a component of this index and the environmental Ricker serves as a model for the influence of environmental factors on ELH mortality. Stock-recruitment modeling, in general, cannot single out specific ELH stage(s) that the environmental factors influence, nor can it provide the direct linkage to the physiological mechanism impacting mortality. However, these mechanisms may be complex, nonlinear and difficult to model parametrically on a small scale. The environmental Ricker can be viewed as testing the association between the aggregate ELH mortality impact on growth rates and the environment. The utility of this interpretation clearly depends on one's perspective regarding stock-recruitment growth rates and ELH mortality. The significance of wind stress in particular (table 4) coupled with the biological research of Peterman and Bradford (1987) on larval survival support the straight forward incorporation of environmental factors in Ricker model as useful method for potentially capturing some environmental influences on ELH mortality.

Recruitment estimates indicated that the strong years of productivity (e.g. 1997 and 2005, 2006) did not translate into large recruitment classes due to poor environmental conditions. Warmer than normal sea surface temperatures and unfavorable wind patterns have contributed to poor recruitment. However, the vast majority of changes in mortality for the egg through 9.25 mm larval class appears to have occurred during the egg phase. Temperature is a potential cause of the increasing egg IMR; however, were temperature a significant contributor one would think it should be a stronger predictor of recruitment. Other potential explanations for the increasing egg IMR could be conceived, such as an increased abundance of euphausiids that can prey on the stationary eggs more easily than the mobile mature larvae. Exploration of hypotheses such as this are left for future research. Also, stock-recruitment modeling may not be ideal for identifying factors influencing the egg IMR, as large variation in the late larval and juvenile phases may leave a strong signature on recruitment, masking the straightforward identification of environmental influences on egg mortality. Ultimately, we are

⁷Daily specific fecundity is $1/\gamma$, so underestimating fecundity results in overestimation of *SSB*, $SSB = P_0^*\gamma$.

⁸The Ricker model $R = SSB^*e^{(1+SSB/K)}$ has growth rate r and carrying capacity K . Let x be a vector of environmental factors. Rewrite Equation 5 as $A^*SSB^*e^{B^*SSB + \rho^*x} = SSB^*e^{(\log(A)+\rho^*x)(1+(B/(\log(A)+\rho^*x))SSB)}$. By analogy, the environmental Ricker has growth rate $r = \log(A) + \rho^*x$ and capacity $K = (\log(A) + \rho^*x)/B$.

currently unable to explain through biological or environmental reasons the increases in the egg IMR.

While this paper does not give an overall estimate of the stock size, prolonged regimes of low productivity and recruitment combined with the short life spans of anchovy will eventually translate into a lower overall stock size. Given that the regime of low productivity has persisted for fifteen plus years, there is reason to believe that the northern anchovy stock as a whole is not as large and strong as it once was in its heyday of the '80s or even the mid '90s, and impacts on the stock and potentially the ecosystem may be at risk if a large fishery for anchovy develops. Recognizing the global demand for small pelagic fish is strong and that U.S. landings in the anchovy fishery have been on the increase (PFMC 2010), additional attention, sampling, and research into the anchovy fishery would be prudent.

5 IMPROVING FUTURE ANALYSIS

Our analysis was based on the best available data and well-established methods for estimating key population parameter. However, there are shortcomings which are not defects in the analysis, but rather directions for future research and data collection. We highlight these issues so that they may be considered for improving future anchovy stock assessments.

- Unstaged eggs preclude the use of the more accurate DEPM. The staging of anchovy eggs would provide data on egg production-at-age which could be used to model the egg mortality curve and provide more precise estimates of egg production and the IMR (Lo 1985b).
- Parameter estimates obtained from the literature (e.g. aging, see appendix A2), were estimated around 1985 and may require updating. It's possible that parameter values could have changed over time.
- Because no trawl surveys were undertaken, we had to assume constant stock parameters to infer spawning stock biomass. Targeted trawl sampling of the anchovy stock would enable the estimation of a time-varying daily specific fecundity.
- The methods used here were developed twenty years ago. More complex Bayesian hierarchical models (BHM) might be considered, enabling one to utilize data from other years (Clark 2007). Research into developing up-to-date statistical methods for anchovy that explicitly account for the various stages of estimation could improve estimation precision.

A sampling scheme tailored for the range of northern anchovy and updated parameters and methods would improve the accuracy of estimation but would not substantially affect the trends in the data or the conclusions. Despite these areas where improvements are needed, the results provided in this paper accurately reflect trends

in the status of the central subpopulation of northern anchovy.

6 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Ed Weber for providing the data; participants at the CalCOFI conference 2010 for their many helpful comments; Kevin Hill; John Field; and reviewers for insightful comments on earlier versions of this paper. The findings and conclusions in the paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the National Marine Fisheries Service.

LITERATURE CITED

- Aydin, K. 2005. Fisheries and the Environment: Ecosystem Indicators for the North Pacific and Their Implications for Stock Assessment. NMFS AFSC Proceeds of the First Annual Meeting of the National Marine Fisheries Service's Ecological Indicators Research Program.
- Butler, J. 1989. Growth during the larval and juvenile stages of the northern anchovy in the California Current during 1980–84. *Fishery Bulletin*, 87:645–652.
- Burnham, K. P. and D. R. Anderson. 2002. Model Selection and Multimodel Inference: A Practical Information-Theoretic Approach. Springer Verlag.
- CalCOFI Net Descriptions. 2010. Description of Nets Cal1MOBL (C1), CalBOBL (CB), CalVET (CVT), PairOVET (PV). <http://swfsc.noaa.gov/textblock.aspx?Division=FRD&ParentMenuId=213&id=1376>. Accessed 08–23–2010.
- Clark, J. S. 2007. Models for Ecological data, an Introduction. Princeton University Press. 817pp.
- Eber, L. E. and R. P. Hewitt. 1979. Conversion Algorithms of the CalCOFI Station Grid. *Calif. Coop. Oceanic Fish Invest. Rep.* 20:135–137.
- Fiedler, P., R. D. Methot, and R. P. Hewitt. 1986. Effects of California El Niño 1982–1984 on the northern anchovy. *Journal of Marine Research*, 44:317–338.
- Hewitt, R. P. 1981. The Value of Pattern in the Distribution of Young Fish. *Rapp. P.-v. Reun. Cons. Int. Explor. Mer.* 178:229–245.
- Hewitt, R. P. and R. D. Methot. 1982. Distribution and Mortality of Northern Anchovy Larvae in 1978 and 1979. *Calif. Coop. Oceanic Fish Invest. Rep.* 23:226–137.
- Hewitt, R. P. and G. D. Brewer. 1983. Nearshore Production of Young Anchovy. *Calif. Coop. Oceanic Fish Invest. Rep.* 24:235–244.
- Hewitt, R. P. 1985. Comparison between Egg Production Methods and Larval Census Method for Fish Biomass Assessment. An egg production method for estimating spawning biomass of pelagic fish: application to the northern anchovy, *Engraulis mordax*. US Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Rep. NMFS. 36:95–99.
- Hushby, D. and C. Nelson. 1982. Turbulence and vertical stability in the California Current. *Calif. Coop. Oceanic Fish Invest. Rep.* 23:113–129.
- Hunter, J. R. and B. J. Macewicz. 1985. Measurement of spawning frequency in multiple spawning fishes. *Lakser ed. NOAA Technical Report NMFS*, 36(iii):79–94.
- Jacobson, L. D., N. C. H. Lo, S. F. Jr. Herrick, and T. Bishop. 1995. Spawning Stock Biomass of the Northern Anchovy in 1995 and Status of the Coastal Pelagic Fishery During 1994. Administrative Report LJ-95-11. NMFS.
- Jacobson, L. D., N. C. H. Lo, and J. T. Barnes. 1994. A biomass-based assessment model for northern anchovy, *Engraulis mordax*. *Fishery Bulletin*, 92(4):711–724.
- Lasker, R. 1981. Factors contributing to variable recruitment of the northern anchovy in the California current: contrasting years, 1975–1978. *Rapp. P.-v. Reun. Cons. Int. Explor. Mer.* 178:375–388.
- Lasker, R. 1985. An egg production method for estimating spawning biomass of pelagic fish: application to the Northern Anchovy, *Engraulis mordax*. NOAA Technical Report NMFS. 36(iii):1–99.
- Lo, N. C. H. 1983. Re-estimation of three parameters associated with anchovy egg and larval abundance: temperature dependent incubation time, yolk-sac growth rate and egg and larval retention in mesh nets. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS. NOAA-TM-NMFS-SWFC-33:1–33.
- Lo, N. C. H. 1985a. Egg production of the central stock of northern anchovy, *Engraulis mordax*, 1951–1982. *Fishery Bulletin*, 83:137–150.

- Lo, N. C. H. 1985b. A model for temperature-dependent northern anchovy egg development and an automated procedure for the assignment of age to staged eggs. An egg production method for estimating spawning biomass of pelagic fish: application to the northern anchovy, *Engraulis mordax*. US Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Rep. NMFS. 36:43–50.
- Lo, N. C. H. 1985c. Modeling life-stage-specific instantaneous mortality rates, an application to northern anchovy, *Engraulis mordax*, eggs and larvae. Fishery Bulletin. 84:395–407.
- Lo, N. C. H., B.J. Macewicz, D.A. Griffith, and R.L. Charter. 2008. Spawning biomass of Pacific sardine (*Sardinops sagax*) off U.S. in 2008. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS. 430:1–33.
- MacKinnon, J. G. 2006. Bootstrapping methods in econometrics. The Economic Record. 82:S2–S18.
- Methot, R. D. and R. P. Hewitt. 1980. A Generalized Growth Curve For Young Anchovy Larvae: Derivation and Tabular Example. Administrative Report LJ-80-17, NMFS.
- PFMC. 1978. 1983. Northern anchovy fishery management plan. PFMC, Portland, OR. Federal Register 43(141):31655–31783. PFMC Amendment 5 to the Northern anchovy fishery management plan: Incorporating the final supplementary EIS/DRIR/IRFA. PFMC, Portland, OR.
- PFMC 2010. Status of the Pacific Coast Coastal Pelagic Species Fishery and Recommended Acceptable Biological Catches: Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation. PFMC, Portland, OR.
- Peterman, R. and M. Bradford. 1987. Wind speed and mortality rate of marine fish: northern anchovy. Science. 235:354–365.
- Ricker, W. E. 1954. Stock and Recruitment. Fisheries Research Board of Canada.
- Rykaczewski, R. and D. Checkley. 2008. Influence of ocean winds on the pelagic ecosystem in upwelling regions. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 105:1965–1970.
- Smith, P. E. and S. L. Richardson. 1977. Standard techniques for pelagic fish eggs and larval survey. FAO Fisheries Techniques Paper. 175:27–73.
- Weber, E. and S. McClatchie. 2009. rcalcofi: Analysis and Visualization of CalCOFI Data in R. Calif. Coop. Oceanic Fish Invest. Rep. 50:178–185.
- Zweifel, J. and R. Lasker. 1976. Prehatch and posthatch growth of fishes: a general model. Fisheries Bulletin. 74:609–621.
- Zweifel, J. and P. E. Smith. 1981. Estimates of Abundance and Mortality of Larval Anchovies (1951–75): Application of a New Method. Rapp. P.-v. Reun. Cons. Int. Explor. Mer. 178:284–259.